

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,046	05/03/2001	Yasumori Hino	YAMAP0594USA	5579
75	90 05/05/2003			
Mark D. Saralino RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP Nineteenth Floor			EXAMINER ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
,			1756	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			A6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/848,046	HINO ET AL.	
,	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. RE 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Co. 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	CFR 1.704(b). s Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	п ше арреат.	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		see NOTE below),	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or sir	nnlifving the
issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appear by mate	crainy reducing or sir	iipiiiyiiig tile
(d) Method they present additional claims without canceling	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecting	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		_
10. Other:		Martin Angebrant Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756	ndt
S. Patent and Trademark Office		/	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/848,046

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 11 and 12 are newly presented without cancelling an equivalent number of active claims. Also, the basis for the language may not be present inthe specification.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The language added to claims 7 and 10 would be entered if presented alone. The portion of the Kawase reference cited recited a (single) beam and dicloses that the disk is subjected to multiple exposures. The reference clearly uses a single beam apparatus, but in column 5 at lines 22-36 does discuss that a time savings or manufacturing cost can be reduced if only one intermediate pit is used because then a two beam apparatus may be used. Clearly there is more than one intermediate beam in figure 8, which is described in lines 4-14 of column 5 of Kawase. Therefore the examiner is confident that only single beam cutting apparatus is used and that this is clear to one of ordinary skill in the art. The examiner also notes that the claims do not limit the invention to a single beam apparatus as open "comprising" language is used. The examiner notes that only in column 5 is the writing of the information described. The other discussiongs seem to deal with reading the resultant medium.

5/2/03